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is there that periodic examinations have prevented the development of asbestosis and its sequela? As regards initial examinations the Pneumococcosis Medical Panels have to decide whether new entrants are suitable for employment in the industry. The question that should be asked is whether the employment is suitable for the worker? In our experience very appreciable improvements in working conditions have been made in some sections of the industry by some employers. What some can do, others can do. Let us remember the answer and the warning given by Merewether 30 years ago. When asked whether two years exposure was sufficient to cause asbestosis in a young girl, he replied, "Yes, if she lives long enough."
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THE OCCURRENCE OF ASBESTOSIS AMONG INSULATION WORKERS IN THE UNITED STATES

I. J. Seilikoff, J. Chung, E. C. Hammond

Section on Environmental Health, The Mount Sinai Hospital,
New York, N.Y.

Information currently available concerning asbestosis has been derived largely from studies of employees of asbestos textile factories and should properly be referred to such individuals. It is inadequate to speak now of "asbestos workers." With the growth of asbestos utilization, including rapid multiplication of the number and variety of its applications, it would perhaps be more accurate to categorize workmen exposed to asbestos as "asbestos textile workers," "asbestos insulation workers," "asbestos miners," "asbestos mill workers," "asbestos-cement workers," etc. The different occupations vary widely in important respects; in intimacy, intensity and duration of exposure, in variety and grade of asbestos used, in working conditions, in concomitant exposure to other dusts or inhalants. The importance of this distinction and the parallel obligation to evaluate and study the experience of asbestosis exposure in other trades, is emphasized by the fact that asbestos textile workers are now a minority of those exposed during the industrial use of asbestos.

There were good reasons for the early emphasis on asbestos textile workers. The first cases of asbestosis were in textile workers. Following the republication of Cooke's case in 1927, with its rapid confirmation by the report of another case by Seiler, the Factory Department in Great Britain had to decide whether these were exceptional occurrences or represented a significant health risk in industry. Accordingly, an investigation into the problem was undertaken during 1928 and 1929. The scope of the study had to be restricted. The textile industry was selected for study since only here was there pure or almost pure asbestos exposure. Since it was urgent to investigate the effect of this dust, limiting the investigation to this branch of the industry avoided the possible complications which might be introduced by the presence of other potentially fibrinous dusts. Moreover, in other asbestos trades, there were varying portions of asbestos in the dust to which workers were exposed, which would have added another complicating factor. It was accepted that there were considerable numbers of workers exposed to mixed dusts whose risk would not be documented by study of the asbestos textile industry.

Subsequent surveys, similarly designed to study the risk of industrial asbestos exposure, were also largely confined to asbestos textile workers. This study was supported by the Health Research Council of the City of New York.
although some described experiences in asbestos mills,15,16 asbestos-cement works,17 or factories producing a variety of asbestos products.18 Such studies had the advantage of having available for investigation sufficiently large numbers of individuals to allow for statistical evaluation of the observations made. There was the added advantage that in many of the factories there were a considerable number of individuals with extended periods of exposure, allowing for analysis of the effect of prolonged exposure.

An important area of industrial asbestos exposure has been asbestos insulation work. The first asbestos factory opened in Great Britain in 187114 with the expansion of the asbestos textile industry beginning 10 years later consequent upon the availability of high grade spinning asbestos from Canada.15 Yet asbestos was used for heat insulation in 1866.16 Asbestos cement was introduced as a boiler covering about 1870 and other asbestos insulation products were introduced in the next three decades. Commercial production of asbestos insulation materials was recorded at least in 1874.17

Just as in Great Britain, early studies concerning asbestosis in the United States did not mention asbestos insulation workers. Neither Panchaud18 nor Hoffman19 characterized the asbestos trade in which the workmen referred to by them were engaged. The first official claim for compensation associated with asbestos in the U.S. was in 1927 and, again, the nature of the work was not stated.20

Ellman21 in 1934 mentioned a case of asbestosis in an insulation worker. Other cases were subsequently reported,22-26 and in the annual report of the Chief Inspector of Factories for the year 1936, "lagging, or insulation work, was recognized as hazardous. Similarly, Hervieux in France drew attention in 1932 to the dangers of such end product use as insulation work. The only large scale survey of asbestos insulation workers was undertaken in the U.S. by Fleischer et al. in 1945. They found only three cases of asbestosis and concluded that "asbestos pipe covering of naval vessels is a relatively safe operation." Unfortunately, 95 per cent of those examined by them had worked for less than 10 years at the trade and, as we shall see, evaluation of the risk of insulation workers limited to study of men with relatively short durations of exposure may be misleading.

Asbestos Insulation in the United States

Asbestos, as a mixture of fiber and sodium silicate, was first used as an insulation material in 1866 and as asbestos cement about 1870. Magnesia with asbestos as a binder soon followed and air-cell covering, made with corrugated asbestos paper, was introduced in 1898.27 These materials are still in use, with others.

The first union of insulation workers in the United States was formed in New York City in 1884 under a charter issued by the Knights of Labor (predecessor of the American Federation of Labor), as "The Salamander Association of Boiler and Pipe Fitters."28 In 1910, the present union of insulation workers in this country was chartered by the A. F. of L., as the International Association of Heat and Frost Insulators and Asbestos Workers, with the amalgamation of the Salamanders' Association of New York and other independent locals throughout the country.29 The members of this union are insulation workers, primarily employed in the building trades doing construction insulation work but also employed as insulation workers in shipyards and powerhouse construction and repair. Much of their work is in the open air but it sometimes is, as aboard ship, in rather tight quarters with poor ventilation. The men generally work at all parts of the trade; few are specialists at one part of it or limit themselves to one material. Conditions of work vary from job to job and from company to company. Among the 1,117 men we have examined (see below), fewer than nine per cent of those with 20 years of work experience or more had worked for one employer primarily — even after four years of work experience less than half had remained with their original employer. Review of work practices with insulation workers from various parts of the United States and with knowledgeable officials of the union and insulation employers indicates that techniques, materials and practices are rather the same through the country. Similarly, data published concerning the work practices in other countries30 suggest that asbestos exposure in insulation work is approximately the same the world over.

The asbestos exposure to which insulation workers are subject is limited and intermittent. Some of the materials used contain no asbestos. Seventy-five years ago, the magnesia pipe coverings had shredded rope as a binder, with no asbestos. Later, much work was undertaken with hair-felt, wool-felt, cork, rock-wool. In the past three decades, fibrous glass products have come into increasing use and these have been supplemented recently with foamched and expanded plastics for certain applications. Of the asbestos-containing products which are widely used by these men, magnesia block insulation was and remains perhaps the most important. This usually contains approximately 15 per cent asbestos. While asbestos cement has a varying asbestos content depending upon its manufacture, it also generally has 15-20 per cent or less of asbestos. Asbestos paper products such as air-cell have a higher asbestos content but are used in much smaller quantities.

We have studied the insulation materials with which these men worked in the past, in several ways. First, review of old trade and technical publications from the early part of the century to about 1930 indicates that the major asbestos-containing materials have remained about the same, especially insofar as the amount and nature of the asbestos content is concerned. As expected from knowledge of sources of U.S. imports of as-
bestos, chrysotile asbestos was largely used. This has been confirmed by
analyses of magnesia block obtained during repair work. In later speci-
cmens so obtained, crocidolite has also been found. Moreover, materials used
for ship insulation, while containing the same amount of asbestos as above,
began in 1934 to have significant amounts of amosite in addition to chryso-
tile, because of the lighter weight of the material. Marr has recently
brought shipyard insulation experience up to date, comparing it with the
observations of Fleischer and colleagues, and concludes that "... insulation
materials and work methods have remained essentially the same
since 1945.

Measurement of dust exposures of insulation workers have been but in-
frequently reported and have been hampered by the varied nature of the
work. As in other asbestos work, peak counts are met which are excessively
high but generally counts for asbestos fibers have been within the five
mpcf. M.P.C. of the A.C.G.I.H. Such counts as have been available, made
during work engaged in by the men studied by us, have shown a
range similar to that of published counts.

Present Investigation

We undertook to study the question whether asbestos exposure during
insulation work in the U.S. was associated with the hazard of asbestosis and
its complications. Our investigations have been concerned with the
1522 members of the insulation workers union in the New York (Local 12)
New Jersey (Local 32) metropolitan area. The New York Local of the
Union is a direct lineal descendant of the Salamanders' Association, and
is the oldest Union of insulation workers in the U.S. The 1522 men studied
by us include all members of these Locals from 1942 to 1962. On December
31, 1942, there were 632 members and 830 men subsequently joined be-
tween 1942 and December 31, 1962. This includes every man who was a
member of either of these locals at any time in this period, whether or not
be remained in the trade as an insulation worker. It is believed that the
experience of these men fairly represents a cross section of the experience
of insulation workers during the history of this trade in the U.S. Records
of the union indicate that these locals had 335 members in 1914 and that
1738 members were admitted to these locals from 1915 to 1963. In the
United States as a whole, the Insulation Workers Union in 1914 had 1487
members and 23,338 were admitted from 1915 to 1963. The adequacy of
our sample can be gauged by reference to these figures (see TABLE 1). How-
ever, our data does not include information concerning nonunion insu-
lation workers, maintenance insulation workers, insulation work as part
of other trades or undertaken by insulation workers in other unions. The
number of men involved in insulation work in these areas is not known
to us.
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| Table I
| Study of Asbestos Insulation Workers in the United States: Adequacy of Sample |

| Total U.S. Membership, IAHFIW, 1963 | 14,803 |
| Total NY-NJ Membership, IAHFIW, 1963 | 1,258 |
| Examined NY-NJ Membership, IAHFIW, 1963 | 1,117 |

Following insulation workers not studied:
1. Nonunion insulation workers.
2. Some maintenance insulation workers.
4. Insulation work as part of other trades.
5. Insulation workers in other unions.

From January 1, 1943 to December 31, 1962, 264 men died. At the start
of this study on January 1, 1963, 1258 men were alive. We attempted to
locate and examine every man alive on that date, whatever his employ-
ment status. For this purpose, invitation for examination was voluntary.
The cooperation of the union and its membership was freely given. Union
records were made available and were very valuable. The union is a stable
one. Its members are well-paid, skilled craftsmen, with little turnover
among these workers. Accurate, detailed employment records, collected
weekly, are maintained by the union and from these records it was possi-
ble to obtain the entire work history of each man. This data was used to sup-
plement the work histories obtained from the men during their examina-
tions (see below), and was utilized to provide employment data sufficient
to establish onset of employment and duration of work experience for those
men not examined. From the health and welfare records, information was
available concerning every death among the members of these locals during
the period covered by our study and, utilizing these records, death certifi-
cates were obtained, facilitating the location of hospital records, autopsy
protocols, histological material, etc.

Of the 1258 men alive at the start of this study, 1086 were active working
members of the union, 63 were retired (principal because of age), 34 were not working because of illness and 75 had withdrawn from the
union and were no longer engaged as insulation workers, but were other-
wise employed (TABLE 2).

One thousand one hundred and seventeen of the 1258 living members
(89.9 per cent) presented themselves for examination. This included 984
of the 1086 of the working members, 50 of the 63 retired members, 28 of
the 34 who were ill and 55 of the 75 members who had withdrawn from insulation work (table 3). Table 4 contains an analysis of the 1258 men according to lapsed time from onset of their employment. It will be seen that the very large majority of men in each lapsed time category was examined, This inclusiveness of the survey was very gratifying for two reasons. First, it will be seen from an analysis of table 2 that a high proportion of the older members, with long work experience and with longer periods of lapsed time from onset of employment are included among the "retired," "ill," or "withdrawn" classifications. To have omitted these men would have led to inaccurate, selected data. It would certainly have made

### Table 3
**Asbestos Workers Union N.Y.-N.J. 1943-1962**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status January 1, 1963 (1,522 members)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Deceased .................................. 264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alive ..................................... 1,258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Examined</th>
<th>% Examined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 4
**Examination of Asbestos Insulation Workers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Onset of exposure</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Examined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior to examination (yrs.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50+</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>174 (91.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>192 (87.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>1,117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis by group, according to onset of work exposure.

difficult the establishment of the effect of a long lapsed period from onset of exposure or the effect of a long duration of exposure.

Second, in addition to data concerning onset of employment, information was obtained detailing the actual number of years worked during the period from onset of employment. While in many cases the two periods were not coincided, in some cases the number of years worked was significantly less than the elapsed time from onset of exposure. The comparison of such data is of interest when attempting to separate the effect of actual work exposure from the effect of mere passage of time from the initial exposure with inhalation and retention of asbestos fibers.

Examination of each man included a detailed occupational history. Data were recorded concerning onset of employment, interruptions for whatever cause, number of employers, use of protective respirators, materials handled and employed in particularly suspect areas of insulation work such as shipyard work and powerhouse construction work. Health experience was noted, including previous illnesses and hospitalizations, as were current symptoms such as dyspnea, cough, spurtum, hemoptysis, gastrointestinal complaints. Smoking habits were recorded in detail including age at onset, number of cigarettes smoked, and pipe and cigar smoking. If the individual was no longer working, the reason for this was ascertained. Physical examination was particularly directed to the chest, but included examination of the skin, nose and throat, finger clubbing and cyanosis. Chest expansion was measured, hemoglobin and urine were routinely ex-
amined. Vital capacity was measured in all cases and in many, additional measurements such as M.E.F.R., TVC and MBC were also made. In many cases, sputum was examined for asbestos bodies and in almost half, blood was drawn for special serological studies. In selected cases, extended pulmonary function investigations were undertaken, including arterial gas studies, lung compliance, pulmonary airway resistance, measurement of ventilatory parameters and diffusion studies.

X-ray studies were perhaps the most important part of the examination. In each case, a standard posteroanterior film was taken but, in addition, another posteroanterior film utilizing a slightly more penetrating kilovoltage technique was also exposed. Our experience indicates that this maneuver is valuable in X-ray examinations in this disease. The standard technique is required since over-penetration may well result in the loss of fine reticular fibrosis and, indeed, in individuals with relatively brief work histories, it is sometimes advantageous to take a third posteroanterior film with a slightly under-penetrating technique if such minimal fibrosis is suspected. However, in the men with longer work experience, increased penetration may be required. First, these men do a good deal of manual labor and are often heavily muscled. Second, pleural fibrosis is common and parenchymal fibrosis usually coexists, both decreasing radiopacity. Third, such increased penetration is often required to demonstrate calcification, especially when it coexists with extensive pleural thickening or is located in such areas as the posterior diaphragmatic suture, behind the heart, in the mediastinal pleura, etc.

Oblique films in both anterior oblique positions were also routinely taken in each case. While this has obvious applicability in a disease with such lower lobe preponderance as asbestosis, we have found it particularly valuable in the demonstration of pleural calcification.

Results

Among the asbestos insulation workers examined by us, evidence of pulmonary asbestosis was present in almost half the men examined. In this evaluation, radiological change has been used as the sole criteria (TABLE 5). We understand, of course, that evaluation of the presence and extent of asbestosis limited only to X-ray findings tends to result in underestimation of the incidence of asbestosis, but few instances of disabling disease will be so overlooked. Analysis of our data indicates that radiologically evident pulmonary asbestosis varied directly with the duration of exposure. Insulation workers with relatively short periods of exposure have a significantly lower incidence of pulmonary asbestosis and this, when present, was generally of minimal extent.

Of 346 men whose exposure had begun less than ten years before examination, only 36 or 10.4 per cent showed any radiological abnormality. In each of these cases, the reticular infiltration was minimal in extent and in none was there evidence of pleural calcification. In only four was pleural fibrosis seen. Of 379 men whose exposure had begun from 10 to 19 years before examination, more than half still had normal X-rays. Some abnormality was seen on X-ray in 167 of these cases but in only nine was the asbestosis greater than minimal. In 35 of these men there was some pleural fibrosis and in five, evidence of calcification.

On the other hand, among the 392 men with more than 20 years elapsed from onset of exposure, the very large majority had X-ray evidence of pulmonary asbestosis. Among the 77 whose exposure began from 20 to 29 years prior to examination, 56 showed abnormal films. Among 194 whose examination took place from 30 to 39 years following onset of exposure, almost 9 of 10 showed abnormal films while, of those with more than 40 years from onset of exposure only one in 20 showed no abnormality. Moreover, the asbestosis in these cases tended to be considerably more extensive and severe and pleural calcification and fibrosis were commonly seen.

Pulmonary asbestosis has been called a nonsymptomatic disease, with dyspnea the essential complaint. Analysis of our data concerning this symptom among the men studied by us indicates that it was uncommonly seen in those men with less than 20 years from onset of exposure but was an important symptom after that. Thus, of 725 men with less than 20 years from onset of exposure, only one had significant dyspnea although 32 others complained of minimal dyspnea on exertion (TABLE 6). On the other hand, of the 392 men with more than 20 years from onset of exposure, 12 had dyspnea of some degree, 61 of them with disability of greater or lesser extent.

There was incomplete correlation between the roentgenographic findings (considered as parenchymal fibrosis) and dyspnea on exertion. Two cases were seen with no roentgenographic evidence of parenchymal fibrosis but
with complaints of significant dyspnea, but discrepancy of this extent was rare (Table 7).

On the other hand, of 99 cases with more than 20 years from onset of exposure, and with evidence of moderate or extensive parenchymal fibrosis, moderate or severe dyspnea was present in little more than one of four. Thus, significant disability may be present with relatively little to be seen on X-ray and, conversely, X-ray changes may be extensive with little functional difficulty. Similar incomplete correlation has been recorded between the results of pulmonary function studies and roentgenographic appearances.\(^n\)

We have been able to obtain lung tissue for examination from 45 asbestos insulation workers, primarily from the 337 men who died from 1942 to 1964, although in a few cases operative specimens were available. In no

Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of parenchymal fibrosis</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Per cent Grade 2-3 dyspnea</th>
<th>Years from onset of exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade of dyspnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibrosis (grade)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Asbestos insulation building trades workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Less 15 years from onset</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Over 15 years from onset</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Asbestos insulation factory workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Less 15 years from onset</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Over 15 years from onset</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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case did we fail to find typical pulmonary asbestosis, with fibrosis and asbestos bodies present. However, our material is inadequate to evaluate early changes since in only one case was there a history of less than 15 years from onset of exposure. In 15 cases, the pulmonary fibrosis was grade I; in 16, grade II; and in 14, grade III. We have the impression that the asbestosis suffered by these asbestos insulation workers is less severe than that occurring in workers exposed in factories. We have had the opportunity of examining lung tissue from 11 men working in a factory making asbestos insulation material (one of the brands actually utilized by the members of the Asbestos Workers Union). Although the data are limited and conclusions perhaps unwarranted, analysis of the findings suggests that the pulmonary fibrosis among these men was significantly greater

than among the Building Trades Union Insulation Workers. Table 8 summarizes these pathological studies.

Mortality experience in this group of men will be separately reported.\(^o\) It may be remarked here, however, that the incidence of lung cancer and mesothelioma of the pleura and peritoneum was found to be considerably beyond that expected.\(^p\) In addition, the incidence of carcinoma of the stomach and colon was also found to be elevated.

In the roentgenological survey, because of the known increased risk of lung cancer among workers exposed to asbestos, particular attention was paid to this finding among the men examined. In 10 men, lung cancer was found, and in one, pleural mesothelioma. In each, histological verification was obtained. In six cases, thoracotomy was undertaken. Three men are currently alive following apparently successful removal of neoplasms. As
with significant pulmonary asbestosis, positive findings insofar as lung cancer and pleural mesothelioma were concerned occurred among the 392 men in the 20 years from first exposure group (Table 9). The importance of including the men with longer work experience in a survey of workers exposed to asbestos is well illustrated by these findings. Similarly, the importance of including all members of an original cohort is also demonstrated. Table 9 provides an analysis of the occurrence of lung cancer according to status of the individuals examined. Of the 1086 working members, 984 were examined and among these, seven were found to have lung cancer (0.7 per cent). There were 172 men no longer actively at work, being retired, ill or having withdrawn from the group to work at other trades. One hundred and thirty-three were examined and four were found to have lung cancer (three percent).

### Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years from onset of exposure</th>
<th>Number examined</th>
<th>Working members</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-9</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes one instance of pleural mesothelioma.

It will be seen from Table 9 that we found no cancer of the lung among those men examined whose work had begun less than 20 years before the date of examination.

We find it difficult to compare the results of this investigation with previously reported surveys of workers exposed to asbestos. In many instances, the conditions of the studies do not allow for such comparisons. In Mesereth’s study, 363 men were analyzed but only 133 were X-rayed. All were at work, except one, on the day of examination. Only 21 or 5.8 per cent had 20 years or more of work experience. The 126 men studied by Læger were “selected at random” and were at work at the time of examination. Smith’s has drawn attention to the dangers of drawing conclusions from men at work, pointing out that examination of 223 Joachimstal miners failed to reveal any lung cancer, yet 43 of 89 post-mortem among these men showed this neoplasm. The cases studied by Stone were weighted in another direction, since they were individuals, “applying for compensation.” Wegelius studied 126 cases of 476 men at work and details of employment are not given in this report. It is likely that the group contained few men with prolonged experience since the industry being studied was in existence for “about 20 years.”

In the study by Horii in Japan, 11 of the 329 men surveyed, only 10 had more than 20 years of work experience. As mentioned, in the study undertaken by Pleisacher, only 51 of the 747 men examined had more than 10 years of work experience and in this study, too, it was the men at work who were examined. Frost et al. studied 31 of 34 asbestos insulation workers in Copenhagen with more than 20 years of asbestos exposure, but this survey was limited to currently active men who represented a residual of approximately one-third of an original cohort of “about 100.” Even the study by Doll is not comparable. The 105 consecutive autopsies reviewed by him were “coroners’ necropsies.” These are not necessarily consecutive cases since these necropsies are ordered by the coroner when, in his opinion, there may be a question of asbestosis being a contributory cause of death. There were 18 cases of lung cancer found in this group of men, 15 among 75 cases with asbestosis and three among 30 cases without asbestosis. However, this series excludes those individuals whose death did not, in the opinion of the coroner, include the possibility of asbestosis being a contributory cause. In the second part of this study, Doll traced all men who had worked in one particular factory for at least 20 years. Of 113 such men there were 35 deaths, 11 due to lung cancer, all with asbestosis, while 0.8 was expected. However, these men included only those who had worked in heavily exposed areas and may in this regard be considered as a select group.

With reference to comparison with findings in other surveys, primarily of asbestos textile workers, it appears evident that asbestosis is an important risk among insulation workers exposed to asbestos. This is particularly true of those men who have at least 20 years from onset of exposure. This risk includes lung cancer and mesothelioma associated with the pulmonary asbestosis. It might be added, parenthetically, that other neoplasms were also found during the survey. Sciatic jaundice led to the provisional diagnosis of abdominal neoplasm in one case, later confirmed at laparotomy to be a carcinoma of the pancreas. Marked hypochromic anemia in another led to hospitalization during which an inoperable carcinoma of the stomach was found. Other carcinomas present in men appearing for examination included carcinoma of the tongue, carcinoma of the nasopharynx, carcinoma of the colon, and carcinoma of the bladder.

Scattered case reports have previously been recorded of neoplasms among insulation workers, including both lung cancer and mesothelioma.
of both the pleura and peritoneum. A lung cancer has also been reported in a workman in a factory making asbestos insulation. However, these reports, while interesting and valuable, could not establish an association between the two conditions. It is possible that additional lung cancers and mesotheliomas have occurred and may even have been reported but such reports do not state the nature of the asbestos exposure and such instances may be included among cancers in “asbestos workers.”

Our experience that the lapsed period from onset of exposure is generally prolonged before the appearance of lung cancers in asbestos insulation workers coincides with the general experience of long “latent periods” in such cases. Indeed, in the infrequent cases in which very short periods have elapsed from onset of exposure, it might well be that the lung cancer found is coincidental. Such long latent periods would correspond to similarly prolonged periods associated with lung cancer in chromate workers, nickel,[17] cancers, arsenical lung cancers, and the increased incidence of lung cancer among regular cigarette smokers.[18] In Doll’s series,[19] a long latent period was noted in all cases.

Neoplasms have also been reported among individuals indirectly exposed to asbestos insulation work, as carpenters,[20] steam fitters,[21] and other building trade workers.[22]

Summary

An investigation involving 1522 asbestos insulation workers in the New York-New Jersey metropolitan area has been conducted. Among 392 individuals examined more than 20 years from onset of exposure, radiological evidence of asbestosis was found in 339. In half of these, the asbestosis was moderate or extensive. In individuals with less than 20 years of exposure, radiological evidence of asbestosis was less frequent and when present, much less likely to be extensive.

Neoplastic complications of asbestos exposure were studied among 307 consecutive deaths in this group of men. Lung cancer was found to be at least seven times as common as expected and cancer of the gastrointestinal tract three times as common as expected. There were 10 instances of mesothelioma of the pleura or peritoneum.

Of the 1258 men alive at the start of this survey, 1117 were examined. Eleven cancers of the lung or pleura were found during this survey of the living members, all among the 392 men with more than 20 years from onset of exposure. No cancers were found in those men, the onset of whose work experience was less than 20 years.

We may conclude that asbestosis and its complications are significant hazards among insulation workers in the United States at this time.
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